



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble


This remedial Environmental Impact Assessment Report (rEIAR) has been compiled by Gavin Lawlor and Bernard Dwyer of Tom Phillips + Associates on behalf of the Applicant – Milford Quarries Limited. The rEIAR accompanies an application under Section 177E of the *Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended*, for development at an existing sandstone quarry at a site in the townland of Clogrennane, Co. Carlow.

Gavin Lawlor is a Director of Tom Phillips + Associates. He holds a BA (Social Science) from University College Dublin, where he graduated in 1995 with a Masters in Regional and Urban Planning (MRUP) Degree and is a Full Member of the Irish Planning Institute (IPI) with 25 years' experience. Further competent experts have been engaged to address specific issues and/or portions of the EIAR.

Bernard Dwyer is an Associate at Tom Phillips and Associates. He holds a Master's in Planning and Sustainable Development (MPLAN) from University College Cork where he graduated in 2014 and is a full member of the Irish Planning Institute (IPI) with 11 years' experience.

Details of all the experts engaged in the preparation of this rEIAR is provided in Appendix 1.1. As outlined within Figure 1.1, the landholding of c. 45.68 ha is located within the townland of Clogrennane, Co. Carlow and directly sits along the Co. Laois/Co. Carlow border. The site is approximately 6 km southwest of Carlow town and approximately 3 km north-west of Ballinabranna.

Substitute Consent is being sought to regularise the historic sandstone extraction and processing at the site comprising an extraction area of c. 8.66ha.



Remedial EIA arises where retrospective consent for a development that should have been subject to an EIA when permission was originally granted is now required to be undertaken.

“that where the planning authority determines in relation to a quarry that an environmental impact assessment, a determination as to whether an environmental impact assessment was required, or an appropriate assessment, was required but was not carried out and the planning authority also determines that the development in question was carried out after 3 July 2008, the planning authority will issue a notice to the owner or operator of the quarry informing him or her that it intends to issue an enforcement notice under section 154 requiring the cessation of the operation of the quarry and the taking of such steps as the planning authority considers appropriate”

In August 2012, Carlow County Council determined under section 261A(2)(a)(i) that quarry development was carried out after 1st February 1990, which development would have required, having regard to the EIA Directive, an environmental impact assessment but that such an assessment was not carried out. The reason given was that the site exceeded the mandatory threshold of 5 hectares for an EIA.



The determinations and decision of the Planning Authority were referred to the Board by the previous owner for review (ABP ref.: QV01.QV0145). The grounds for the included claims the site was Pre-63 based on the 1906 map, that there was no substantive evidence that there would be any significant impact on any Natura site noting the hydrogeological assessment submitted when getting a discharge licence in 2011, and that there was an error in quarry registration with regard to the boundary.

The subsequent Board order dated January 2014 confirmed the determination of the Planning and concluded that the development would have required EIA and the decision that the extraction works do not have a pre-1964 authorisation and do not have a valid planning permission.

The previous owner of the quarry did not pursue a substitute consent application following this determination and the quarry has been largely abandoned since.

Milford Quarries Limited acquired the abandoned quarry in 2023 and applied for leave to seek substitute consent in May 2023 (ABP Ref: LS01.317194). Following the enactment of the Planning and Development Amendment Act 2023 the need to apply for Leave for Substitute Consent was removed from the legislation with effect from 16th December 2023. This application was therefore considered withdrawn by An Bord Pleanála.

Historical excavation has removed materials over an area of approximately 8.66 hectares.

1.3 EIA Process

EIA requirements are governed by Directive 2014/52/EU, which amends the Directive 2011/92/EU. The primary objective of the EIA Directive is to ensure that projects that are likely to have significant effects on the environment are subjected to an assessment of their likely impacts.

EIA forms part of the planning consent process and is carried out by the Competent Authority. An EIAR is prepared by/on behalf of the Applicant in respect of a project seeking planning consent. Therefore, the EIAR becomes an integral informing element in the Competent Authority's EIA. The 2014 Directive has introduced strict new requirements in respect of the competency of experts responsible for the preparation of the EIAR (see Table 1.2 below and Appendix 1.1 for details on the experts involved in the preparation of this document).

The EIA process may be summarised as follows:

1. Screening – Is EIA required?
2. Scoping – If EIA is required, what aspects of the environment should be considered?
3. Preparation of EIAR.
4. EIAR informs EIA (as part of the consent process).




Figure 1.2: Flow chart illustrating the EIA Process. (Source: Guidelines on the information to be contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports, 2022, EPA; Figure 2.1)

1.4 Need for Environmental Impact Assessment Report

The EIA Directives have been transposed into Irish law for the purposes of this planning application by the provisions of *Part X of the Planning and Development Acts ,2000 (as amended)* and *Part 10 of the Planning and Development Regulations, 2001 (as amended)*.

The EIA Directives list those projects for which an EIA is mandatory (Annex I) and those projects for which an EIA may be required (Annex II).

The Project is not listed within Part 1 of Schedule 5 of the Regulations and therefore a mandatory EIA is not required under this Schedule.

Class 2(b)

The development consisted of an extraction area of c. 8.66 ha and is therefore greater than the threshold listed above.




Figure 1.3: The subject site (outlined in red) that is part of a wider quarry landholding (blue).

1.5 Purpose of remedial Environmental Impact Assessment Report

As noted, the 2014 Directive has redefined an EIA as a process, whereby an Environmental Impact Assessment Report is a key informing element.



An rEIAR's purpose is to assess the likely significant effects (direct and indirect) on the environment arising from the development as carried out. It is used during the consent process to inform EIA.

As per Article 5(1) of the amended Directive, an EIAR should provide the following information:

- Description of Project
- Description of Baseline Scenario
- Description of Likely Significant Effects
- Description of Avoidance/Mitigation Measures
- Description of Reasonable Alternatives (and rationale for chosen option)
- A Non-Technical Summary

Annex IV of the Directive sets out a more detailed outline of the information required in an EIAR as follows:

1. Description of the project, including in particular:

(a) A description of the location of the project.

(b) A description of the physical characteristics of the whole project, including, where relevant, requisite demolition works, and the land-use requirements during the construction and operational phases.

(c) A description of the main characteristics of the operational phase of the project (in particular any production process), for instance, energy demand and energy used, nature and quantity of the materials and natural resources (including water, land, soil, and biodiversity) used.

(d) An estimate, by type and quantity, of expected residues and emissions (such as water air, soil and subsoil pollution, noise, vibration, light, heat, radiation) and quantities and types of waste produced during the construction and operation phases.

2. A description of the reasonable alternatives (for example in terms of project design, technology, location, size, and scale) studied by the developer, which are relevant to the proposed project and its specific characteristics, and an indication of the main reasons for selecting the chosen option, including a comparison of the environmental effects.

3. A description of the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment (baseline scenario) and an outline of the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the project as far as natural changes from the baseline scenario can be assessed with reasonable effort.

4. A description of the factors specified in Article 3(1) likely to be significantly affected by the project: population, human health, biodiversity (for example fauna and flora), land (for example land take), soil (for example organic matter, erosion, compaction, sealing), water (for example hydromorphological changes, quantity and quality), air, climate (for example greenhouse gas emissions, impacts relevant to adaptation), material assets, cultural heritage, including architectural and archaeological aspects and landscape.



5. A description of the likely significant effects of the project on the environment resulting from, *inter alia*:

(a) The construction and existence of the project, including, where relevant, demolition works.

(b) The use of natural resources, in particular land, soil, water, and biodiversity, considering as far as possible the sustainable availability of these resources.

(c) The emission of pollutants, noise, vibration, light, heat and radiation, the creation of nuisances, and the disposal and recovery of waste.

(d) The risks to human health, cultural heritage, or the environment (for example due to accidents or disasters).

(e) The cumulation of effects with other existing and/ or approved projects, taking into account any existing environmental problems relating to areas of particular environmental importance likely to be affected or the use of natural resources.

(f) The impact of the project on climate (for example the nature and magnitude of greenhouse gas emissions) and the vulnerability of the project to climate change.

(g) The technologies and the substances used. The description of the likely significant effects on the factors specified in Article 3(1) should cover the direct effects and any indirect, secondary, cumulative, transboundary, short-term, medium-term, and long-term, permanent, and temporary, positive, and negative effects of the project. This description should take into account the environmental protection objectives at Union or Member State level which are relevant to the project.

6. A description of the forecasting methods or evidence, used to identify and assess the significant effects on the environment, including details of difficulties (for example technical deficiencies or lack of knowledge) encountered compiling the required information and the main uncertainties involved.

7. A description of the measures envisaged to avoid, prevent, reduce or, if possible offset any identified significant adverse effects on the environment and, where appropriate, of any proposed monitoring arrangements (for example the preparation of a post-project analysis). That description should explain the extent, to which significant adverse effects on the environment are avoided, prevented, reduce, or offset, and should cover both the construction and operational phases.

8. A description of the expected significant adverse effects of the project on the environment deriving from the vulnerability of the project to risks of major accidents and/ or disasters which are relevant to the project concerned. Relevant information available and obtained through risk assessments pursuant to Union legislation such as Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council or Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom or relevant assessments carried out pursuant to national legislation may be used for this purpose provided that the requirements of the Directive are met. Where appropriate, this description should include measures envisaged to prevent or mitigate the significant adverse effects of such events on the environment and details of the preparedness for and proposed response to such emergencies.

9. A non-technical summary of the information provided under points 1 to 8.

10. A reference list detailing the sources used for the descriptions and assessments included in the report."



The subject rEIAR has been prepared in full accordance with these stated requirements of Annex IV.

Further, Section 177F (1) states:

“a remedial environmental impact statement shall contain the following:

- (a) A statement of the significant effects, if any, on the environment, which have occurred, or which are occurring or which can reasonably be expected to occur because the development the subject of the application for substitute consent was carried out;*
- (b) Details of*
 - (i) Any appropriate remedial measures undertaken or proposed to be undertaken by the applicant for substitute consent to remedy any significant adverse effects on the environment;*
 - (ii) The period of time within which any proposed remedial measures shall be carried out by or on behalf of applicant.*
- (c) Such information as may be prescribed under section 177N”*

The preparation of the remedial Environmental Impact Assessment Report has been co-ordinated by Tom Phillips + Associates, Town Planning Consultants¹, in association with other members of the Project Team as identified in Table 1.1 below. Details in respect of the competence of the various experts is set out in Appendix 1.1.

A copy of the full rEIAR is available for reference/purchase at the offices of An Comisiún Pleanála and Carlow County Council, Athy Road, County Carlow, R93 E7R7.

1.6 Scoping of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report

A non-statutory scoping exercise was conducted for this rEIAR to establish what format the rEIAR would take and the range and aspects of the environment to be considered and led to a decision on the matters to be addressed and the format to be used (the so-called ‘grouped’ ER format – see Section 1.6). This exercise was conducted following consultations between the Applicant and its professional advisors.

The scope of the remedial Environmental Impact Assessment conducted in regard to the proposed development includes the following:

- The requirements of the EIA Directive (Directive 2011/92/EU, the codified EIA Directive), the *Planning and Development Acts 2000 – 2015*, and the *Planning and Development Regulations, 2001 – 2015*;
- European Commission *Impact Assessment Guidelines, 2009*;
- *Advice Notes on Current Practice in the Preparation of Environmental Impact Statements (Draft), September 2015*;
- *Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports, May 2022*;

¹ Tom Phillips + Associates, Town Planning Consultants, 80 Harcourt Street, Dublin 2, D02 F449
Tel: (01) 478 6055; Fax: (01) 478 6054; E-mail: info@tpa.ie



- Regard was also had to the EIA Directive 2014/52/EU adopted on 16th April 2014, and which came into force on 15th of May 2014 and the Circular Letter PL 1/2017 issued by the Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government (15th May 2017); and
- The requirements of Carlow County Council, as elaborated in the current *County Development Plan 2022 – 2028* and as advised by the Officers, to facilitate evaluation of the proposed development.
- The historic development of the site and planning history
- The likely concerns of local residents and other third parties.
- The nature, location and scale of the proposal.
- The existing environment, as well as any vulnerable or sensitive features and current issues.
- The likely and significant impacts of the proposed development on the environment.
- The Planning and Development Regulations, 2001 (as amended) specify the aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the proposed development, including in particular:
 - Population and Human Health, Biodiversity, (Flora and Fauna).
 - Soil, Water, Air, Climatic Factors, Noise and Vibration, the Landscape and Visual Impact.
 - Material Assets – Site Services, Traffic, and Transportation, Waste Management
 - Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Heritage.
 - The inter-relationship between the above factors and an indication of difficulties encountered in compiling the required information.

These considerations are addressed in the rEIAR.

Table 1.1 below outlines the environmental aspects covered in this rEIAR and the justification for why they have been included:

Environmental Aspect	Detailed Assessment	Justification
Population & Human Health	Yes	The proposed development may have had an impact on population and human health, employment, local community, and amenity uses, during the operational phases.
Biodiversity	Yes	The subject site is brownfield in nature having previously been cleared of overburden and subject to extraction activity. A Stage 1 Appropriate Assessment screening has been prepared and



		determined, that it was not possible to rule out the possibility that the development, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, had a significant effect on a European site. A Stage 2 Assessment and Remedial Natura Impact Statement (rNIS) has therefore been prepared.
Land, Soils, and Groundwater	Yes	Impacts on geology and hydrogeology will be assessed in terms of the operational phase of the development. Potential cumulative impacts with other projects will also be assessed.
Hydrology	Yes	The development had the potential to impact on water (including flood risk, hydrology, and drainage) as there would have been ground disturbance associated with the proposed development.
Air Quality	Yes	The operational phase had the potential to give rise to air quality impacts, principally relating to particulate matter and dust. (Chapter 9).
Climatic Factors	Yes	The project will be assessed in terms of its potential to impact on climate including projected GHG emissions.
Noise and Vibration	Yes	The operational phases had the potential to give rise to impacts relating to noise and vibration. (Chapter 11).
Material Assets – Waste	Yes	Any wastes generated by the previous operations at the quarry will be assessed. (Chapter 12).
Material Assets – Traffic & Transportation	Yes	The traffic chapter, (Chapter 13) of the EIAR will present an assessment of the historic traffic and transport impacts of the proposed development.
Material Assets – Site Services	Yes	The Material Assets section of the EIAR (Chapter 12) will examine the likely significant effects of the operation of the proposed development on



		intrinsic and valuable assets of material value.
Archaeological, Architectural & Cultural Heritage	Yes	The archaeological, architectural, and cultural assessment (Chapter 14) will provide an assessment of the archaeological, architectural, and cultural heritage potential within, and in the vicinity of the extent of the development.
Landscape & Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA)	Yes	The LVIA will consider effects on the landscape character of the existing setting (i.e. as a result of the development) and visual impacts (i.e. the extent to which the development can be seen).
Major Accidents & Disasters	No	The existing quarry was operated in accordance with an Emergency Response Plan and an Environmental Accident Prevention Plan.
Interactions	Yes	There was potential for multiple direct and indirect effects (from various environmental aspects) to result in an accumulation or magnified effects from the proposed development.
Cumulative Impacts	Yes	The proposed development was in proximity to other permitted and proposed developments and therefore had the potential to exacerbate or create larger and more significant effects. The EIAR will assess the impact inter alia of the development in line with the relevant proposals within 1km of the subject site. The relevant proposals are set out in Chapter 2 of the EIAR.
Daylight & Sunlight	No	The development did not involve any overground construction and is remotely located from nearby receptors.
Wind	No	The development did not present any potential for wind impacts to nearby receptors.

Table 1.1: Environmental Aspects covered in this rEIAR and the justification for why they have been included. (Source: TPA, 2025).



1.7 rEIAR Methodology & Format

In addition to the 2014 Directive, the subject rEIAR has been informed by:

- *Advice Notes on Current Practice in the Preparation of Environmental Impact Statements* (EPA, 2003);
- *Guidelines On the Information to Be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports* (EPA, May 2022);
- *Draft Advice Notes for Preparing Environmental Impact Statements, Draft*, (EPA draft September 2015a);
- *Draft Revised Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Statements* (EOA draft September 2015b);
- *Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects: Guidance on Screening* (European Commission, 2017);
- *Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects: Guidance on Scoping* (European Commission, 2017);
- *Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects: Guidance on the preparation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report* (European Commission, 2017);
- *Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment*, (August 2018);
- *Guidance of Integrating Climate Change and Biodiversity into Environmental Impact Assessment* (European Commission, 2013);
- *Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment* (Department of Environment, Community, and Local Government 2013);
- *Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment* (Government of Ireland, 2018);
- *Key Issues Consultation Paper on the Transposition of 2014 EIA Directive (2014/53/EU) in the Land Use Planning and EPA Licensing Systems* (Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government 2017);
- *Guidelines for the Assessment of Indirect and Cumulative Impacts as well as Impact Interactions* (European Commission, 1999);
- *Implementation of Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment* (European Commission, 2003);
- *Circular PL 05/2018 – Transportation into Planning Law of Directive 2014/52/EU amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment (the EIA Directive) and Revised Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment* (Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government, 2018);
- *Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended*; and
- *Planning and Development Regulation 2001, as amended*.

In addition to these guidance documents, all EU Directives and National Legislation relating to the specialist areas (e.g. Biodiversity, Air Quality, Climate and Noise) have been considered



under each relevant environmental aspect. Specific guidance is addressed in the relevant chapters of this rEIAR.

Remedial Environmental Impact Assessment Reports require the assimilation, co-ordination and presentation of a wide range of relevant information in order to allow for the overall assessment of a proposed development. To allow for ease of presentation, and consistency when considering the various environmental factors considered, a systematic structure is used for the main body of the Report. The structure of the rEIAR is outlined below.

1.7.1 Introduction & Project Description Chapters

The chapters of this rEIAR introduce and describe the proposed development in sufficient detail to allow for a full assessment of the potential environmental effects.

The need for the proposed development is also described, in addition to a detailed outline of the consideration of alternatives is presented in order to clearly outline the decision-making process leading to the proposed development including the environmental appraisal of reasonable alternatives.

An overview of the EIA process is detailed in this chapter and the approach to the preparation of this rEIAR is presented.

1.7.2 Environmental Baseline & Assessment Chapters

Each of the chapters of this rEIAR broadly follow the same structure. This structure is as follows:

- Introduction;
- Methodology;
- Receiving Environment;
- Characteristics of the Proposed Development;
- Potential Impact of the Proposed Development;
- Ameliorative, Remedial or Reductive Measures;
- Predicted Impact of the Proposed Development;
- Monitoring;
- Reinstatement (if required);
- Interactions and Potential Cumulative Impacts.

1.7.2.1 Introduction

This section provides an overview of the aims and objectives of the chapter in assessing the proposed development and outlines the scope of the assessment.

1.7.2.2 Methodology

This section of each chapter outlines the methods used to describe the baseline environmental conditions and predict the likely impacts on the environment of the historic development that has occurred, is occurring and/or may yet occur. The data and survey requirements for each chapter vary depending on the environmental topic and have been chosen by the particular specialist based on relevant legislation, best practice guidance, policy requirements, and professional judgement. Similarly, the study area is also defined for each environmental topic based on best practice guidelines, professional judgement and experience.

All environmental topics require desk-based reviews of all relevant data at a minimum. These desk-based studies were then supplemented by field studies and consultations with relevant stakeholders, for example interested parties, statutory bodies and local authorities, as required for each environmental topic. Figure 1.4 below illustrates the key stages in the preparation of an rEIAR.




Figure 1.4: Flow chart illustrating the key stages in the preparation of an rEIAR. (Source: *Guidelines on the information to be contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports, 2022, EPA; Figure 2.2.*)



1.7.2.3 Receiving Environment

Each chapter of this rEiAR provides a description of the existing environmental conditions within each defined study area. Schedule 6 Paragraph 2(c) of the *Planning and Development Regulations* has a requirement to include the following in the EiAR:

“a description of the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment (baseline scenario) and an outline of the likely evolution thereof without the development as far as natural changes from the baseline scenario can be assessed with the reasonable effort on the basis of the availability of environmental information and scientific knowledge.”

This section in each chapter describes the findings of the desktop studies, field surveys and information gained through any consultations carried out and uses the information to provide a description of the current state of the environment based on all information gathered.

1.7.2.4 Characteristics of the Historic Development

This section in each chapter provides a description of the location, nature, and extent of the project. Estimates of residues, emissions, and waste that have occurred, are occurring, or may yet occur are also provided where possible.

1.7.2.5 Ameliorative, Remedial or Reductive Measures

This section of each environmental chapter describes the mitigation measures which are required. The requirement to describe mitigation measures is laid out in the EIA Directive (85/337/EEC) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16th April 2014 amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment and the *Planning and Development Regulations*.

Article 5(1) of the 2014 EIA Directive states that:

“... the developer shall include at least:

(d) a description of the features of the project and/or measures envisaged in order to avoid, prevent or reduce and, if possible, offset likely significant adverse effects on the environment”

Annex IV states that:

“7. A description of the measures envisaged to avoid, prevent, reduce, or if possible, offset any identified significant adverse effects on the environment and, where appropriate, of any proposed monitoring arrangement (for example the preparing of a post-project analysis). That description should be the extent, to which significant adverse effects on the environment are avoided, prevented, reduced or offset, and should cover both the construction and operational phases.”



Schedule 6 Paragraph 2(g) of the 2018 Regulations also states that:

“(g) a description of the measures envisaged to avoid, prevent, reduce or, if possible offset any identified significant adverse effects on the environment and, where appropriate, of any proposed monitoring arrangements (for example the preparation of an analysis after completion of the development), explaining the extent to which significant adverse effects on the environment are avoided, prevented, reduced or offset during both the construction and operation phases of the development.”

As per Section 3.8.1 of the EPA Guidelines on Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (EPA 2022) there are four types of mitigation measure, namely:

- Mitigation by avoidance – generally part of the consideration of alternatives, where adverse effects are avoided entirely through changes in design;
- Mitigation by prevention – generally technical measures taken to prevent a potential unacceptable significant effect. Measures are put in place to limit the source of the effect, e.g. through specification of process standards or building design. Prevention measures also include safeguards against the effects of accidental events;
- Mitigation by reduction – commonly used to deal with effects which cannot be avoided and does not tend to effect the source of the problems but instead aims to limit the effect of it. These measures can be split into two types, namely reducing the effect through interception of the emission (e.g. wastewater treatment and noise attenuation); and reducing exposure to the effect by identifying the receptors to be impacted and installing protection or a barrier between the receptor and the source of the effect; and
- Mitigation by remedy/offsetting – a strategy for dealing with negative effects which can neither be avoided nor reduced. Remedy involves compensation for or counteraction of an adverse effect (e.g. planting new vegetation to compensate for removal elsewhere as a result of the project). Offsetting involves carrying out further works to improve adverse conditions (e.g. installing tunnels to allow wildlife to retain access to comparable habitats).

A significant proportion of mitigation is already incorporated into the design of the proposed development. Environmental considerations have been incorporated into the decision-making processes with regards to all aspects of the proposed development. Where an impact to the environment has been deemed as unacceptable, mitigation has been embedded in the design or the option was ruled out.

Each required mitigation measure has been fully described in the mitigation section within each chapter.

All impacts resulting in a ‘Moderate’ significance or above have mitigation measures proposed. Professional judgement around this general position depending on the subjective nature of the assessment has also been applied, where relevant.



1.7.2.6 Predicted Impact of the Proposed Development

The main purpose of the rEIAR is to assess and describe the likely environmental impacts of the historic development at the site. The proposed development had the potential to impact on the environment during operational phases. Each specialist reviewed the details of the proposed development and, based on the baseline information collected, predicted the impacts that the proposed development may have had on their specific environmental topic. Under Schedule 6 Paragraph 2(e) of the *Planning and Development Regulations*, descriptions of the likely significant effects on the environment resulting from the following shall describe:

- *“the construction and existence of the proposed development, including, where relevant, demolition works”;*
- *“the use of natural resources, in particular land, soil, water and biodiversity, considering as far as possible the sustainable availability of these resources”;*
- *“the emission of pollutants, noise, vibration, light, heat and radiation, the creation of nuisances, and the disposal and recovery of waste”;*
- *“the risks to human health, cultural heritage or the environment (for example due to accidents or disasters)”*
- *“the cumulation of effects with other existing or approved developments, or both, taking into account any existing environmental problems relating to areas of particular environmental importance likely to be affected or the use of natural resources”*
- *“the impact of the proposed development on climate (for example the nature and magnitude of greenhouse gas emissions) and the vulnerability of the proposed development to climate change”; and*
- *“the technologies and the substances used”.*

Each predicted impact has been fully described and assigned a significance and duration based on the assessment criteria as outlined within each chapter. A conservative approach has been taken to assessing likely impacts, with the ‘worst case scenario’ used in order to ensure all foreseeable impacts have been identified.

Assessment criteria have been developed on a subject-by-subject basis informed by professional judgement to ensure that the criteria used are flexible and relevant to each subject.

The development of the criteria has had regard to the EPA assessment criteria as per the EPA EIAR Guidelines. Figure 1.5 shows how a comparison of the character of the predicted impact to the sensitivity of the receiving environment determine the significance of the impact.




Figure 1.5: Chart showing typical classifications of the significance of impacts. (Source: EPA, 2022).

1.7.2.7 Interactions & Potential Cumulative Impacts

Schedule 6 of the *Planning and Development Regulations* (Information to be Contained in EIAR) includes the following in Part 2 (e):

*“(i) a description of the likely significant effects on the environment of the proposed development resulting from, among other things –
(V) the cumulation of effects with other existing or approved developments, or both, taking into account any existing environmental problems relating to areas of particular environmental importance likely to be affected or the use of natural resources”*

The potential for significant cumulative impacts and impact interactions is described for each environmental topic.



1.7.3 Non-Technical Summary

As per the requirements of the Directive, the Non-Technical Summary (NTS) comprises an easily accessible summary of the rEIAR, using non-technical language. It is formulated to be understandable to those without a prior background to the project or particular environmental expertise.

1.8 rEIAR Study Team & Guarantee of Competency & Independence

The *remedial Environmental Impact Assessment Report* was completed by a project team led by Tom Phillips + Associates, who also prepared a number of the chapters.

The members of the team, their qualifications and their respective inputs are outlined below in Table 1.1. The appendices for the rEIAR Chapters, as set out in Table 1.1, are provided in Volume 2 of the rEIAR, where applicable. A separate Non-Technical Summary of the EIAR is also enclosed within the inside cover.

In accordance with EIA Directive 2014/52/EU, we confirm that experts involved in the preparation of the rEIAR are fully qualified and competent in their respective field. Each has extensive proven expertise in the relevant field concerned, thus ensuring that the information provided herein is complete and of high quality.

Chapter	Aspects of the Environment Considered	Contributor	Person Responsible
Chapter 1	Introduction & Methodology	Tom Phillips + Associates (TPA)	Bernard Dwyer
Chapter 2	Site Location & Context	TPA	Bernard Dwyer
Chapter 3	Description of the Historic Development	TPA	Bernard Dwyer
Chapter 4	Key Alternatives Considered	TPA	Bernard Dwyer
Chapter 5	Population & Human Health	TPA	Bernard Dwyer
Chapter 6	Biodiversity	Ecology Ireland	Gavin Fennessy
Chapter 7	Land, Soils, & Geology	Hydro Environmental Services (HES)	Michael Gill
Chapter 8	Water (Hydrology & Hydrogeology)	HES	Michael Gill
Chapter 9	Air	AWN	Ciara Nolan
Chapter 10	Climate	AWN	Ciara Nolan
Chapter 11	Noise & Vibration	Wave Dynamics	James Cousins
Chapter 12	Material Assets - Waste	AWN	Chonaiil Bradley
Chapter 13	Material Assets – Traffic & Transportation	Transport Insights	Eoin Munn



Chapter 14	Archaeology	Charles Mount Archaeology	Dr. Charles Mount
Chapter 15	Landscape Visual Impact Assessment	Macroworks	Richard Barker
Chapter 16	Interactions & Cumulative Impacts	TPA	Bernard Dwyer
Chapter 17	Mitigation & Monitoring	TPA	Bernard Dwyer
	Non – Technical Summary	All Contributors outlined above	Complied by TPA

Table 1.2: Interdisciplinary Team appointed to this EIAR.